Appendix F

Cyngor Sir Ceredigion County Council - Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making

1. PROPOSAL DETAILS: (Policy/Change Objective/Budget saving)



This **Integrated Impact Assessment tool** incorporates the principles of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 and the Sustainable Development Principles, the Equality Act 2010 and the Welsh Language Measure 2011 (Welsh Language Standards requirements) and Risk Management in order to inform effective decision making and ensuring compliance with respective legislation.

Proposal Title	Public Space Pr	Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs)								
Service Area	Policy, Performa	Policy, Performance & Public Protect			Alun Williams		Strategic Director	Barry Ree	s	
Name of Officer	completing the	Anne-Louise Dav	/ies	E-mail annelouise.davies@ceredigion.gov.uk Phone no						
Please give a b	rief description of	the purpose of the p	roposal							
Public Protection section of Borth		it a review into the c	urrent PS	POs with a	a view to extend th	ne continua	ation of the curren	t dog restrict	ons on a	
people using co Equality Act and	untry parks, peop d for whom the au	nis proposal? (e.g. T ble on benefits, staff ithority must have du	members ue regard	s or those v l).	who fall under the	protected	characteristics gro			
The general pul	olic, in particular l	ocal dog owners as	well as v	sitors with	dogs, and other u	sers of Bo	rth beach.			
making process	. It is important to	nould be used at the b keep a record of the nd equality considera	is proces	ss so that w	ve can demonstrat					
Author		sion making stage	1	number	Date considered	Brief des	scription of any am	endments m	ade following	
Anne-Louise Da	ise Davies Cabinet				05.09.23					
COUNCIL STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES: Which of the Council's Strategic Objectives does the proposal address and how?										
	onomy, supportir nabling employm									

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



Creating caring and healthy	A section of Borth beach is specified, and will continue to have a restriction to exclude dogs from the
communities	beach and requiring dogs to be on a lead on the promenade, which serves to protect the public (locals
	residents, visitors and tourists) from anti-social behaviour and nuisance associated with the activities of
	irresponsible dog owners i.e. dog fouling and out of control dogs.
Providing the best start in life and	
enabling Learning at all ages	
Creatin sustainble, greener and well-	
connected communities	

NOTE: As you complete this tool you will be asked for **evidence to support your views**. These need to include your baseline position, measures and studies that have informed your thinking and the judgement you are making. It should allow you to identify whether any changes resulting from the implementation of the recommendation will have a positive or negative effect. Data sources include for example:

- Quantitative data data that provides numerical information, e.g. population figures, number of users/non-users
- Qualitative data data that furnishes evidence of people's perception/views of the service/policy, e.g. analysis of complaints, outcomes of focus groups, surveys
- Local population data from the census figures (such as Ceredigion Welsh language Profile and Ceredigion Demographic Equality data)
- · National Household survey data
- Service User data
- Feedback from consultation and engagement campaigns
- Recommendations from Scrutiny
- Comparisons with similar policies in other authorities
- Academic publications, research reports, consultants' reports, and reports on any consultation with e.g. trade unions or the voluntary and community sectors, 'Is Wales Fairer' document.
- Welsh Language skills data for Council staff

2. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES: How has your proposal embedded and prioritised the five sustainable development									
principles, as outlined in the Well	-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act								
Sustainable Development	tainable Development Does the proposal demonstrate you What evidence do you What action (s) can you take to mitiga								
Principle	have met this principle? If yes, describe	have to support this view?	any negative impacts or better						
	how. If not, explain why.		contribute to the principle?						
Long Term	The PSPOs will need to be reviewed	In 2014, the Anti-social	Reviews will be undertaken as						
Balancing short term need with	pursuant to implementation every three	behaviour, Crime and	described.						
long term and planning for the	years to ascertain if it is still required.	Policing Act 2014							
future.		introduced Public Space							
		Protection Orders which							



			TOTAL
		replaced the Dog Control Orders (DCO's) that already existed for this section of Borth beach. In October 2017, these DC's automatically became PSPOs.	
Collaboration Working together with other partners to deliver.	Public Protection always welcomes liaison and discussion with Borth Community Council representatives. The views of Dyfed Powys Police, and Dyfed Powys Police & Crime Commissioner are also always sought as part of any review as enforcement of the PSPO is a joint duty.	Public Protection maintain data on any reports of any banned antisocial activity in the PSPO area. The data is used to monitor trends and developments.	Monitor trends and developments to ensure the efficacy of the Orders.
Involving those with an interest and seeking their views.	Public Protection have sought the views of a wide range of interested parties - Ceredigion County Council's Economic Development and Tourism Team, Keep Wales Tidy, RNLI Lifeguards, as well as representatives of Borth Community Council, Dyfed Powys Police, and Dyfed Powys Police & Crime Commissioner	Community Council, The Dyfed-Powys Police and the Office of the Dyfed-Powys Police and Crime Commissioner have submitted no objections to the renweal.	Some members of Borth Community Council have requested that the Authority consider introducing timed access for dogs to the PSPO area of the beach to allow dogs on the beach between 6pm and 10am to allow dog owners, particularly those with disabilities, who live in the southern part of the village, to access the beach to exercise their dog. A report to Cabinet/Council will seek a decision on whether a full consultation is necessary. There will be a press release regarding the renewal of the Order which will highlight the continuing exclusion of dogs in the PSPO area of beach, and set out what offence people will be committing if they breach the Order.

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



Prevention	The extension of the PSPO for a	Public Protection data.	Signage already in place but needs to
Putting resources into	further three years will ensure the		be enhaced.
preventing problems occurring	continuation of measures to address		
or getting worse.	the problems described.		
Integration	The existing Order has already had a	The original introduction of	As set out above make sure the orders
Positively impacting on people,	positive impact in reducing the anti-	The DCOs was widely	are clearly advertised to raise public
economy, environment and	social behaviour and nuisance	supported, and this	awareness.
culture and trying to benefit all	associated with the activities of	continues to be the case	
three.	irresponsible dog ownership and	as PSPOs.	
	allowing police/council enforcement		
	officers to issue fixed penalties.		

3. WELL-BEING GOALS: Does your proposal deliver any of the seven National Well-being Goals for Wales as outlined on the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015? Please explain the impact (positive and negative) you expect, together with suggestions of how to mitigate negative impacts or better contribute to the goal. We need to ensure that the steps we take to meet one of the goals aren't detrimental to meeting another.

anomer.			
Well-being Goal	Does the proposal contribute to this goal? Describe the positive or negative impacts.	What evidence do you have to support this view?	What action (s) can you take to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to the goal?
3.1. A prosperous Wales			
Efficient use of resources, skilled,			
educated people, generates			
wealth, provides jobs.			
3.2. A resilient Wales			
Maintain and enhance biodiversity			
and ecosystems that support			
resilience and can adapt to change			
(e.g. climate change).			
3.3. A healthier Wales	Yes – The general public's physical	Supported as	As set out above publicity will ensure
People's physical and mental	wellbeing is protected by these Orders	previously described.	that the Orders are clearly advertised
wellbeing is maximised and health	as they reduce anti-social behaviour in		to raise public awareness. A full
impacts are understood.	the area specified.		consultation will be carried out if
			Cabinet/Council decide it's

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



			necessary. Reviews as described will also take place.
3.4. A Wales of cohesive communities Communities are attractive, viable, safe and well connected.	Locals and tourists alike will benefit from the Order in terms of improved levels of crime and disorder.	Supported as previously described.	As set out above publicity will ensure that the Orders are clearly advertised to raise public awareness. A full consultation will be carried out if Cabinet/Council decide it's necessary. Reviews as described will also take place.
3.5. A globally responsible			
Wales			
Taking account of impact on global			
well-being when considering local			
social, economic and			
environmental well-being.			

3.6. A more equal Wales

People can fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances.

In this section you need to consider the impact on equality groups, the evidence and any action you are taking for improvement.

You need to consider how might the proposal impact on equality protected groups in accordance with the Equality Act 2010?

These include the protected characteristics of age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage or civil partnership, pregnancy or maternity, race, religion or beliefs, gender, sexual orientation.

Please also consider the following guide::

<u>Equality Human Rights - Assessing Impact & Equality Duty</u>

Describe why it will have a positive/negative or negligible impact.

Using your evidence consider the impact for each of the protected groups. You will need to consider do these groups have equal access to the service, or do they need to receive the service in a different way from other people because of their protected characteristics. It is not acceptable to state simply that a proposal will universally benefit/disadvantage everyone. You should demonstrate that you have considered all the available evidence and address any gaps or disparities revealed.

What evidence do you have to support this view?

Gathering Equality data and evidence is vital for an IIA. You should consider who uses or is likely to use the service. Failure to use data or engage where change is planned can leave decisions open to legal challenge. Please link to involvement box within this template. Please also consider the general guidance.

What action (s) can you take to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?

These actions can include a range of positive actions which allows the organisation to treat individuals according to their needs, even when that might mean treating some more favourably than others, in order for them to have a good outcome. You may also have actions to identify any gaps in data or an action to engage with those who will/likely to be effected by the proposal. These actions need to link to Section 4 of this template.

Negligible

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making

Impartment



				The continuation of the dog ban in the specified area will benefit all age groups in terms of improved levels of crime and	data in rela	Public I	previously Protection level of	Given that some members of Borth Community Council have requested that the Authority consider
Children and Young People up to 18 People 18-50	Positive Positive	Negative Negative	None/ Negligible None/ Negligible	disorder.	complaints.			introducing timed access for dogs to the PSPO area of the beach to allow dogs on the beach between 6pm and 10am to allow dog owners, particularly those with
Older People 50+	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible					disabilities, who live in the southern part of the village, to access the beach to exercise their dog, a full consultation to assess the need to introduce timed access to the area of Borth beach protected by the current PSPO and the feasibility of enforcing any such changes could be carried out within the next 12 months. Otherwise, a review as described will also take
								place.
Disability Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on people because of their disability? (Please tick ✓) Hearing Positive Negative None/			In general, the continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all disability groups in terms of improved levels of crime and disorder.	As above.			Given that some members of Borth Community Council have requested that the Authority consider introducing timed access for dogs to the	

PSPO area of the beach to

allow dogs on the beach



Physical Impairment Visual Impairment	Positive Positive	Negative Negative	None/ Negligible V None/ Negligible			between 6pm and 10am to allow dog owners, particularly those with disabilities, who live in the southern part of the village, to access the beach to exercise their dog, a full
Learning Disability	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			consultation to assess the need to introduce timed access to the area of Borth
Long Standing Illness	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			beach protected by the current PSPO and the feasibility of enforcing any
Mental Health	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			such changes could be carried out within the next 12 months.
Other	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			
,	Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on transgender people?			In general, the continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of	As above.	As above.
Transgender	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	crime and disorder.		
Marriage or Civil Partnership Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on marriage or Civil partnership? (Please tick ✓)				The continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of	As above.	As above.
Marriage	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	crime and disorder.		



Civil partnership	Positive <	Negative	None/ Negligible			
Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on pregnancy or maternity?			•	The continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of	As above.	As above.
Pregnancy	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	crime and disorder.		
Maternity	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			
•	Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on race? (Please tick ✓)			The continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of	As above.	As above.
Mixed/Multiple	√ Positive	Negative	Negligible None/	crime and disorder.		
Ethnic Groups Asian / Asian	√ Positive	Negative	Negligible None/			
British	√		Negligible			
Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	_		
Other Ethnic Groups	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	- -		



Religion or non-beliefs				The continuation of the dog	As above.	As above.
Do you think the				exclusion will benefit		
a negative imp				all members of the public in		
religions, belie				terms of improved levels of		
Christian	Positive	Negative	None/	crime and disorder.		
			Negligible			
	✓					
Buddhist	Positive	Negative	None/			
			Negligible			
	√					
Hindu	Positive	Negative	None/			
			Negligible			
	√					
Humanist	Positive	Negative	None/			
	─ ✓		Negligible			
l d - I-		NI	NI /			
Jewish	Positive	Negative	None/			
			Negligible			
Muslim	Positive	Magativo	None/			
MUSIIII	Positive	Negative	Negligible			
			Negligible			
Sikh	Positive	Negative	None/			
OIKIT	1 OSILIVO	ricgative	Negligible			
	√		regigible			
Non-belief	Positive	Negative	None/			
Tron Bollon	1 COMITO	rioganio	Negligible			
	✓					
Other	Positive	Negative	None/			
		J 3	Negligible			
	√					

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



Sex Do you think the a negative important (Please tick ✓	act on me			The continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of	As above.	As above.
Men	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	crime and disorder.		
Women	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			
	V					
Do you think the a negative imp	Sexual Orientation Do you think this proposal will have a positive or a negative impact on people with different sexual orientation? (Please tick ✓) Bisexual Positive Negative None/Negligible			The continuation of the dog exclusion will benefit all members of the public in terms of improved levels of crime and disorder.	As above.	As above.
Gay Men	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			
Gay Women / Lesbian	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			
Heterosexual / Straight	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible			

Having due regards in relation to the three aims of the Equality Duty - determine whether the proposal will assist or inhibit your ability to eliminate discrimination; advance equality and foster good relations.

3.6.2. How could/does the proposal help advance/promote equality of opportunity?

You should consider whether the proposal will help you to: Remove or minimise disadvantage To meet the needs of people with certain characteristics

• Encourage increased participation of people with particular characteristics

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



The continuation of the dog exclusions does not promote equality as such but removes the disadvantage that people may encounter in being victims of or witnessing anti-social behaviour associated with the activities of irresponsible dog owners i.e. dog fouling and out of control dogs on the section of beach designated by the PSPO.

3.6.3. How could/does the proposal/decision help to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, or victimisation?

You should consider whether there is evidence to indicate that: ● The proposal may result in less favourable treatment for people with certain characteristics ● The proposal may give rise to indirect discrimination ● The proposal is more likely to assist or imped you in making reasonable adjustments

As above.

3.6.4. How could/does the proposal impact on advancing/promoting good relations and wider community cohesion?

You should consider whether the proposal with help you to: ● Tackle prejudice ● Promote understanding

As above.

Having due regard of the Socio-Economic Duty of the Equality Act 2010.

Socio-Economic Disadvantage is living in less favourable social and economic circumstances than others in the same society.

As a listed public body, Ceredigion County Council is required to have due regard to the Socio-Economic Duty of the Equality Act 2010. Effectively this means carrying out a poverty impact assessment. The duty covers all people who suffer socio-economic disadvantage, including people with protected characteristics.

3.6.5 What evidence do you have about socio-economic disadvantage and inequalities of outcome in relation to the proposal? Describe why it will have a positive/negative or negligible impact.

Anecdotal evidence from some members of Borth Community Council that some dog owners, particularly those with disabilities, who live in the southern part of the village have nowhere suitable to exercise their dogs during periods when the restrictions are in force. A request has been made to undertake a consultation to assess the public interest in relaxing the conditions of the existing PSPO on the basis that persons with protected characteristics may be negatively impacted by the PSPO.

If timed access for dogs to the PSPO area was introduced for disabled dog owners, it would dilute/relax the current Order and thus would likely have a negative impact of the effectiveness of the Order and would increase the demand to monitor and enforce any new provisions. As it stands, the current order protects all the public (locals residents, visitors and tourists) from anti-social behaviour and nuisance associated with the activities of irresponsible dog ownership, and most likely would only increase the number of complaints rather than reduce them, thus increasing the demand on Public Protection resource

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



What evidence do you have to support this view?

Prior to 2014, a section of Borth beach and promenade, had in place two Dog Control Orders (DCO's) made under the Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005 -one excluding dogs from the beach (1st May – 30th September) and one requiring dogs to be on a lead on the promenade. These DCO's covered a section of the beach not covered by a local byelaw and were put in place in 2008.

They were introduced for the following reasons: -

- 1) Borth Community Council supported the geographical extension of the existing dog byelaws because of complaints from residents and visitors regarding dog fouling and out of control dogs.
- 2) This section of the beach is part of the Blue Flag Beach assessment area. Intentionally permitting dogs on the beach during the Blue Flag assessment period means the beach is not compliant with the imperative criteria required by the Foundation for Environmental Education who run the Blue Flag Programme potentially jeopardising the Blue Flag status of Borth Beach.

Before the introduction of the Dog Control Orders in 2008, consideration was given to balancing the interests of those in charge of dogs against the interests of those affected by the activities of dogs. In doing so, it was recognised that the public, and specifically children, should have access to dog-free areas and areas where dogs are kept under strict control. In addition, those in charge of dogs require access to areas where they can exercise their dogs without undue restrictions. Council was satisfied that there was ample opportunity for the free running of dogs on the area of the beach not covered by Dog Exclusion Byelaws, thus allowing dog owners to discharge their responsibilities under the Animal Welfare Act 2006.

What action(s) can you take to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?

As above.

3.7. A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving Welsh language Culture, heritage and Welsh Language are promoted and protected. In this section you need to consider the impact, the evidence and any action you are taking for improvement. This in order to ensure that the opportunities for people who choose to live their lives and access services through the medium of Welsh are not inferior to	Describe why it will have a positive/negative or negligible impact.	What evidence do you have to support this view?	What action (s) can you take to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts?
--	---	---	---

An integrated tool to inform effective decision making



what is afforded to those choosing to do so in English, in accordance with the requirement of the Welsh Language Measure 2011.						
Will the proposal be delivered bilingually (Welsh & English)?	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	N/A		Ensure that all relevant signs are bilingual. Press Release and Publication of the Orders will be bilingual.
Will the proposal have an effect on opportunities for persons to use the Welsh language?	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	N/A		
Will the proposal increase or reduce the opportunity for persons to access services through the medium of Welsh?	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible √	N/A		
How will the proposal treat the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language?	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	N/A		
Will it preserve promote and enhance local culture and heritage?	Positive	Negative	None/ Negligible	N/A	neet on any of the above (incl	

4. STRENGTHENING THE PROPOSAL: If the proposal is likely to have a negative impact on any of the above (including any of the protected characteristics), what practical changes/actions could help reduce or remove any negative impacts as identified in sections 2 and 3?

4.1 Actions.

What are you going to do?	When are you going to do it?	Who is responsible?	Progress
Present a report to Cabinet/Council to seek their decision regarding whether a full consultation is necessary and to extend the order for a further	5/9/2023 & 21/9/2023	Anne-Louise Davies	On schedule
three years.			



Contact Borth Community Council regarding the	After 4/9/2023	Anne-Louise Davies	TBC				
outcome of their public meeting							
If required, carry out a full consultation	During the financial year	Anne-Louise Davies	TBC				
	2024/25						
4.2. If no action is to be taken to remove or mit	4.2. If no action is to be taken to remove or mitigate negative impacts please justify why.						
(Please remember that if you have identified unlawful discrimination, immediate and potential, as a result of this proposal, the proposal must be changed or revised).							
4.3. Monitoring, evaluating and reviewing. How will you monitor the impact and effectiveness of the page 1.							

5. RISK: What is	s the risk associate	d with t	his proposal?					
Impact Criteria	1 - Very low		2 - Low		- Medium	4 - High		5 - Very High
Likelihood Criteria	1 - Unlikely to oc	cur			- Even chance of ccurrence	4 - Higher than average chance of occurrence		5 - Expected to occur
Risk Description		Impact	mpact (severity)		Probability (deliverability)		Risk Score	
Lower than average chance of occurrence		2			1		2	
Does your propos	sal have a potential	l impact	on another Service area?					
Possibly the Ecor	nomic Developmen	t and To	ourism Team			<u>-</u>		·



6. SIGN OFF						
Position	Name	Signature	Date			
Team Manager	Anne-Louise Davies	Alfonies	23/8/2023			
Corporate Lead Officer	Alun Williams	Alun Williams	23/8/2023			
Strategic Director						
Portfolio Holder						